

### **REMARKS**

The Examiner is thanked for the thorough examination of the present application, and the indication that claims 4 and 5 contain allowable subject matter.

#### **Amendment of the claims**

Claim 1 is amended to add the feature of "a supporting plate abuts the diffuser."

#### **Rejection according to 35 USC 102**

Claims 1, 3, 6, 7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as allegedly anticipated by Saito et al.(US 2002/0113924). Regarding independent claim 1, in the application, the supporting plate abuts the diffuser, and the retaining portion extends from the supporting plate to the reflective plate. In Saito, the supporting plate TPS (Fig. 6) or FLM-U (Fig. 11) does not abut the diffuser SCT. In Fig. 6, the supporting plate TPS is connected to the SCT via a double-coated type BA. In Fig. 11, The FLM-U is connected to the SCT via the TPS. Therefore, the structure of the application is different from that of the Saito.

Claim 1 has been amended to expressly define this feature, and as amended clearly defines over the cited art of record. As the remaining claims depend from claim 1, they are allowable for at least the same reason.

### **CONCLUSION**

For at least the reasons described above, all pending claims are now in condition for allowance.

Should Examiner feel that further discussion of the application and the Amendment is conducive to prosecution and allowance thereof, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at the address and telephone listed below.

No addition fee is believed to be due in connection with this Amendment and Response to FINAL Office Action. If, however, any fee is believed to be due, you are hereby authorized to charge any such fee to deposit account No. 20-0778.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

  
Daniel R. McClure, Reg. No. 38,962

**Thomas, Kayden, Horstemeyer & Risley, LLP**  
100 Galleria Pkwy, NW  
Suite 1750  
Atlanta, GA 30339  
770-933-9500